


## Professional Connections Raise Questions

### Do professional connections matter?

The diagram below illustrates how individuals have been connected through their work on the Federal Advisory Committee to the EPA that formulated the “Agreement in Principle” for the draft LT2ESWT rule, which is guiding the Reservoir Review Panel process. All of the following, R. Rhodes Trussell, Senior Vice President of MWH, William Glaze, Reservoir Review Panel member, Rosemary Menard, Portland Water Bureau liaison for Michael McGuire, and Michael McGuire, Technical Assistant to the Reservoir Review Panel either served on the committee or were consultants hired by the committee. Joe Glicker, President of the Portland MWH office and the consultant who has continuously worked on projects related to the reservoirs since 1995, is directly related to Trussell and Menard through this contracting work. McGuire is also connected to Glicker by means of subcontract work to MWH.



#### William Glaze, Ph.D.

Chair of the EPA Scientific Advisory Board Executive Committee, signatory to the submission of the *EPA Disinfection Byproducts and Surface Water Treatment: A EPA Science Advisory Board Review of Certain Elements of the Stage 2 Regulatory Proposals*, May, 2003, which advised EPA on LT2ESWT rule.  
Member Reservoir Review Panel

#### Michael McGuire, Ph.D.

Consultant to EPA committee that formulated the “Agreement in Principle” for the draft LT2ESWT rule.  
Subcontractor to Portland MWH  
Technical Advisor to the Reservoir Review Panel

#### Rosemary Menard

Portland Water Bureau

Portland representative to the Federal Advisory Committee that formulated the “Agreement in Principle” for the draft LT2ESWT rule, March 1999 to September 2000.

Unfiltered Systems Working Group representative to the Federal Advisory committee that formulated the “Agreement in Principle” for the draft LT2ESWT rule. Portland is the only unfiltered system in this group that did not participate in the Unfiltered Systems Working Group challenge to the EPA proposed rule.

#### R. Rhodes Trussell, Ph.D.

Senior Vice President MWH, 32 years with MWH

Trussell Technology 2003, Member of the EPA Science Advisory Board Executive Committee, and Chairman, Drinking Water Committee of the EPA Science Advisory Board which advised EPA on LT2ESWT rule. *EPA Disinfection Byproducts and Surface Water Treatment: A EPA Science Advisory Board Review of Certain Elements of the Stage 2 Regulatory Proposals*, May, 2003

#### Joe Glicker

Portland Water Bureau, 14 years

President of Portland Office MWH. With MWH since 1995

Obtained the following reservoir related contracts - Open Reservoir study 1995-present, Powell Butte Master plan 1995-present, Infrastructure Master Plan 2000 (this document guides the Water Bureau's Capital Improvement Plan), Bull Run Treatment Panel, 2001-2003.

### **Why do the Friends believe these connections matter?**

We recognize that inevitably there are connections between water industry professionals. But with regards to the creation of water quality standards and their involvement on the Reservoir Review Panel, these individuals are more than casually connected. They are all either directly or indirectly connected through their work on the Federal Advisory Committee to the EPA that formulated the "Agreement in Principle" for the draft LT2ESWT rule. We assume they all continue to support the draft rule. This is problematic because the rule establishes standards that should be challenged by Portland and that are currently being strongly opposed by the Unfiltered Systems Working Group, a consortium consisting of AWWA, Association of Metropolitan Water Agencies, National Association of Water Companies and the National League of Cities, the Friends of Reservoirs, and many others. The proposed LT2ESWT rule varies significantly from the Federal Advisory Committee's "Agreement in Principle" which is why many are challenging the rule.

We find it difficult to believe that these individuals are neutral with regards to the draft standards. We question whether they will be receptive to proposals that suggest working with the other unfiltered systems, New York, Boston, San Francisco, Tacoma, and Seattle in their efforts to create an evidence-based rule.